

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,145	LATHAM, WINCHESTER E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shane Bomar	3672	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Abandoned

 (1) Shane Bomar.

(3) _____.

 (2) James Richardson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2005.
Time: 10:25am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney Richardson was contacted because it has been more than six months since the first Office Action was mailed on 3/24/2005. Mr. Richardson stated that the case has been abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 DAVID BAGNELL
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)